# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/543,108  | 07/22/2005  | Tomohiko Asakage     | 275022US3XPCT       | 4376             |
| 22850 7590 10/17/2007<br>OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.<br>1940 DUKE STREET |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | WILHELM, TIMOTHY    |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3616                |                  |
| •   |             | ·                    |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 10/17/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

| ,   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Comments  | 10/543,108  | ASAKAGE ET AL. |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit       |  |  |  |  |
|   | Timothy D. Wilhelm  | 3616           |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | _·  |                |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                |  |  |  |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |                |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6 and 9-11</u> is/are allowed.  | •   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,7, and 8</u> is/are rejected.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/22/2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
|   | •   |                |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)      |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |   |                |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/05.   | 6) Other:   |                |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/543,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loraas et al (5,931,254) in view of Perry (4,381,042). Loraas et al disclose a construction machine comprising a hydraulic actuator driven by discharge oil from a hydraulic pump adapted to operate using an engine as a power source, an operator presence sensor 27 for detecting an access state of getting-on or getting-off of an operator, and control means adapted to stop said engine automatically when said operator presence sensor detects the getting-off of the operator. Loraas et al disclose the present invention except for the system notifying the operator of a stop of said engine of said machine in advance before said engine is stopped automatically. Perry teaches a system for shutting down a motor vehicle engine upon elapse of selected sensed input conditions in which the system gives a pre-warning to the vehicle operator prior to shutting off the engine. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the engine shutdown system of Loraas et al with the teaching of Perry's pre-warning system to keep the operator informed to the situation.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loraas et al (5,931,254) in view of McGowan et al (6,577,909). Loraas et al disclose a

Application/Control Number: 10/543,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 3616

construction machine comprising a hydraulic actuator driven by discharge oil from a hydraulic pump adapted to operate using an engine as a power source, an operator presence sensor 27 for detecting an access state of getting-on or getting-off of an operator, and control means adapted to stop said engine automatically when said operator presence sensor detects the getting-off of the operator. Loraas et al disclose the present invention except for the controller cutting off a power supply when said engine is stopped automatically. McGowan et al teach a method for automatically shutting down a machine during an operator's absence comprising a controller 105 that shuts down the engine and power source of a machine upon detecting the absence of the machine's operator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the construction machine of Loraas et al with the teaching of McGowan et al's method of cutting off the power source when the engine is automatically shutdown to conserve power.

4. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loraas et al in view of McGowan et al as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Perry (4,381,042). Loraas et al and McGowan et al disclose the present invention except for the system not being able to restart unless the key switch is turned to the off position before attempting to return it to the on position and the power cutting off after a preset time. Perry teaches a system for shutting down a motor vehicle engine upon elapse of selected sensed input conditions in which the engine power shuts off after a preset time following sensed conditions and also in which it is impossible to restart the engine without turning the ignition switch to the off position. Therefore, it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/543,108 Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the engine shutdown system of Loraas et al and McGowan et al with the teaching of Perry's delayed power cut-off system and ignition switch to avoid prematurely cutting off power and to keep the machine from restarting automatically and possibly causing injury.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1,3,4,6, and 9-11 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Wilhelm whose telephone number is 571-272-6980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,108

Art Unit: 3616

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000

Timothy D Wilhelm

Examiner Art Unit 3616

**TDW** 

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600